

## Clitic climbing and infinitive clusters in Bosnian, Croatian and Serbian – A corpus-driven study

Björn Hansen (University Regensburg), Zrinka Kolaković (University Regensburg) and Edyta Jurkiewicz-Rohrbacher (University Regensburg and University Helsinki)

CLITIC CLIMBING (henceforth as CC) “refers to constructions in which the clitic is associated with a verb complex in a subordinate clause but is actually pronounced in constructions with a higher predicate (for instance, the matrix verb which selects that subordinate clause), even though it may have no obvious semantic or syntactic connection to that verb” (Spencer & Luís 2012: 162). An example of CC out of infinitive complements is given in the Serbian sentence (1) where the clitic *ga* ‘him’ is realised in the second position of the matrix clause (Wackernagel position). The movement of the clitic pronoun is possible because there is no syntactic barrier between the matrix and the complement clause.

Clitics as such have been described for Czech by Junghanns (2002), Hana (2007) and Rosen (2014) and as well for Bosnian, Croatian and Serbian (BCS) by Franks & King (2000), Browne (2003, 2004, 2014) and others. These studies have shown that there are big differences between Czech and BCS; e.g. the latter allow phrase splitting and clitic third. It has to be pointed out that there are no empirical descriptions of clitics in BCS based on natural data. The syntactic conditions enabling clitic climbing are thoroughly described only for Czech (Junghanns 2002). Stjepanović (2004) claims that CC is obligatory in BCS but she does not analyse its conditions.

Our point of departure is the observation that all existing studies on clitics in BCS (and in Czech) focus on the structure ‘matrix verb + infinitive’, however none of them deals with INFINITIVE CLUSTERS, i.e. the coordination of two or more infinitives. Based on these first observations concerning CC in BCS, we elaborate on the claim that CC is obligatory in infinitival complements and address the following research question: “Can CC appear in the context of infinitive clusters, i.e. the stacking or of two or more infinitives?” This boils down to the question if an infinitival form prevents clitics to move to the second position in the matrix clause. An example of infinitive stacking in Croatian is given in (2).

We start our study with data for the two complement taking predicates (later CTP) *morati* ‘must’ and *pokušati* ‘try’ representing different predicate types, namely a raising and a subject control construction. We extract the data from three massive, morphosyntactically tagged web corpora: bsWaC v1.2, hrWaC v2.2 and srWaC v1.2.

In our corpus driven study, we test clitics in three positions in CTPs with complements containing infinitive clusters (we allow two to four infinitives in a row):

CTP – stacked infinitives (2,4) – clitic, cf. example (3)

CTP – clitic – stacked infinitives (2,4), cf. example (4)

clitic – CTP – stacked infinitives (2,4), cf. example (5)

In our study, we focus on CC of personal pronouns. Our data from hrWaC 2.2. show that CC out of infinitive clusters is not obligatory. While in the context of a single infinitive complement, the absence of CC (position a) is a rather marginal phenomenon, in the context of infinitive stacking, it is more frequent (compare % in rows “single infinitive” with “infinitive cluster” in Table 1). Apart from that, we see that with infinitive clusters word order (position c) is much less frequent than position b) compared to complements with a single infinitive. In a more thorough analysis of the infinitive clusters, we examine which contexts the absence of CC is restricted to. Moreover, we are going to discuss select cases of split of clitic clusters as illustrated by ex. (6).

### Examples

- |      |                                  |                       |               |                 |                                 |
|------|----------------------------------|-----------------------|---------------|-----------------|---------------------------------|
| (1)  | <i>Milan</i>                     | <i>ga<sub>i</sub></i> | <i>mora /</i> | <i>želi</i>     | <i>[vidjeti t<sub>i</sub>].</i> |
|      | Milan                            | him.ACC               | must.3PRS /   | wants.3PRS      | see.INF                         |
| (1') | * <i>Milan</i>                   | <i>mora /</i>         | <i>želi</i>   | <i>[vidjeti</i> | <i>ga].</i>                     |
|      | 'Milan must / wants to see him.' |                       |               |                 | Stjepanović (2004: 179f)        |

- (2) *Još malo pa ćemo morati*  
 more little and will.1PL must.INF  
*početi razvijati svemirski program.*  
 start.INF develop.INF space program  
 'A little more and we will have to begin to develop a space program.' (hrWaC v2.2)
- (3) *Morat ćemo nastojati nauditi im*  
 must.INF will.1PL try.INF harm.INF them.DAT  
*s kontranapadima.*  
 with counterattacks.INSTR  
 'We'll have to try to hurt them with counterattacks.' (bsWaC v1.2)
- (4) *Morate ih samo znati prepoznati.*  
 must.2PRS them.ACC only know.INF recognize.INF  
 'You just have to know how to recognize them.' (srWaC v1.2)
- (5) *Ona nas mora naučiti kontrolirati.*  
 She us.ACC must.3PRS teach.INF control.INF  
 'She has to learn how to control us.' (bsWaC v1.2)
- (6) (...) *pokušat će mu pomoći upisati*  
 try.INF will.3PL him.DAT help.INF enrol.INF  
*ga i u srednju školu (...)*  
 him.ACC as well in middle.ACC school.ACC  
 'They'll help him to enrol him at the secondary school as well.' (bsWaC v1.2)

Table 1

Positions			single infinitive		infinitive cluster	
			relative frequency <sup>1</sup>	%	relative frequency	%
morati	V / V (2-4)	CL	0.07	0,23%	0.03	10,32%
morati	CL	V / V (2-4)	11.82	39,21%	0.11	38,46%
CL	morati	V / V (2-4)	18.25	60,56%	0.15	51,21%
pokušati	V / V (2-4)	CL	0.72	0,91%	0.02	10,26%
pokušati	CL	V / V (2-4)	27.18	34,61%	0.09	56,41%
CL	pokušati	V / V (2-4)	50.65	64,48%	0.05	33,33%

## References

- Browne, W. (2003) „Razlike u redu riječi u zavisnoj rečenici“. In: *Wiener Slawistischer Almanach*. Sonderband 57, 45-52.
- Browne, W. (2004) "Serbo-Croatian Enclitics for English-Speaking Learners". In: *Journal of Slavic Linguistics* 12: 1, 249-283 (Reprint from 1975).
- Browne, W. (2014) "Groups of Clitics in West and South Slavic Languages". In: Kaczmarska, E.; Nomachi, M. (eds.) *Slavic and German in Contact: Studies from Areal and Contrastive Linguistics*. Slavic Eurasian Studies 26, 81-96.
- Franks, S. & King, T.H. (2000) *A Handbook of Slavic clitics*. Oxford.
- Hana, J. (2007) *Czech Clitics in Higher Order Grammar*. PhD Thesis. Charles University in Prague.
- Junghanns, U. (2002) „Clitic climbing im Tschechischen“. *Linguistische Arbeitsberichte* 80, 57-90.
- NoSketchEngine: <http://nl.ijs.si/noske/wacs.cgi/first?corpname=hrwac>
- Rosen, A. (2014) "Haplology of Reflexive Clitics in Czech". In: Kaczmarska, E.; Nomachi, M. (eds.) *Slavic and German in Contact: Studies from Areal and Contrastive Linguistics*. Slavic Eurasian Studies 26, 97-116.
- Spencer, A & Luís, A.R. (2012) *Clitics. An Introduction*. Cambridge.
- Stjepanović, S. (2004) "Clitic Climbing and Restructuring with "Finite Clause" and Infinitive Complements". In: *Journal of Slavic Linguistics* 12: 1, 173-212.

<sup>1</sup> relative frequencies = (pattern frequency/matrix lemma frequency)\*1000